arXiv:1406.3664v1 [math.CV] 13 Jun 2014

M. RIESZ-SCHUR-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

TAMÁS ERDÉLYI, MICHAEL I. GANZBURG, AND PAUL NEVAI

Abstract. We prove a general M. Riesz-Schur-type inequality for entire functions of exponential type. If f and Q are two functions of exponential type $\sigma > 0$ and $\tau \geq 0$, respectively, and if Q is real-valued and the real and distinct zeros of Q are bounded away from each other, then

$$|f(x)| \leq (\sigma+\tau)(A_{\sigma+\tau}(Q))^{-1/2} \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $A_s(Q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \left([Q'(x)]^2 + s^2 [Q(x)]^2 \right)$. We apply this inequality to the weights $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sin(\tau x)$ and $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x$ and describe all extremal functions in the corresponding inequalities.

1. Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{P}_n$ and $\mathbb{T}_n$ denote the set of algebraic and trigonometric polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients, respectively. Next, given $\gamma \geq 0$, let B_γ be the collection of entire functions of order 1 and type at most γ, that is, entire functions of exponential type at most γ. In other words, $f \in \mathrm{B}_\gamma$ if and only if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $\limsup_{|z|\to\infty} |f(z)| \exp(-(\gamma+\varepsilon)|z|) < \infty$. Finally, let $\mathrm{C}(\Omega)$ be the space of all continuous complex-valued functions f on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ for which $\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\Omega)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{t\in\Omega} |f(t)| < \infty$.[1]

The M. Riesz-Schur Inequality[2]

$$\|P\|_{\mathrm{C}([-1,1])} \leq (n+1) \left\| \sqrt{1-t^2}\, P(t) \right\|_{\mathrm{C}([-1,1])}, \qquad P \in \mathbb{P}_n, \tag{1.1}$$

Date: submission: April 15, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A17, 26D07.

Key words and phrases. M. Riesz-Schur-type inequalities, Duffin-Schaeffer inequality, entire functions of exponential type.

The research of Paul Nevai was supported by KAU grant No. 20-130/1433 HiCi.

[1] With apologies for the repulsive $\|f(t)\|_{\mathrm{C}(\Omega)}$ notation that we occasionally use in this paper.

[2] Hitherto, this used to be called Schur Inequality; see, e.g., [3] and [4] for reasons why one should add Marcel Riesz's name to it.

is well known and it plays an essential role in approximation theory. In particular, the simplest proof of Markov's inequality is based on applying (1.1) to the Bernstein Inequality. We have no doubts that every person reading this paper has seen this done on countless occasions so let us just name one such reference here, namely, M. Riesz's [6, §3, Satz IV, p. 359] from 1914 which is the granddaddy of all of them.

The following M. Riesz-Schur-type

$$\|T\|_{\mathrm{C}([-\pi,\pi))} \le (n+1)\,\|\sin t\,T(t)\|_{\mathrm{C}([-\pi,\pi))}\,, \qquad T\in\mathbb{T}_n\,, \tag{1.2}$$

and Schur-type

$$\|P\|_{\mathrm{C}([-1,1])} \le (n+1)\,\|t\,P(t)\|_{\mathrm{C}([-1,1])}\,, \qquad P\in\mathbb{P}_n\,, \tag{1.3}$$

inequalities are less known. In fact, polling top experts, the third of us found not a single person who was aware of (1.2) despite looking so deceivingly similar to (1.1). Although for even trigonometric polynomials (1.2) is indeed equivalent to (1.1), we don't know if any simple trick could be used to extend it from even to all trigonometric polynomials. We found (1.2) hiding as [5, Lemma 15.1.3, p. 567] with no reference to any original source, and, therefore, we must assume that [5] is the one and only place where the proof is presented and there is a good probability that the proof belongs to Q. I. Rahman.[3] Inequality (1.3) was proved in a 1919 paper by Schur, see [7, (29), p. 285]. As a matter of fact, Schur proved a little more. Namely, for odd n the factor $n+1$ in (1.3) can be replaced by n.

In this note we discuss various versions of inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) for entire functions of exponential type. They are special cases of the following general M. Riesz-Schur-type inequality.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\tau\ge 0$ and $\sigma>0$. Let $Q\in \mathrm{B}_\tau$ be a function that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $Z_Q \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{t_n\}$ denote the real and distinct zeros of Q. Assume that if* $\operatorname{card} Z_Q>1$ *then* $\inf_{k\ne\ell}|t_k-t_\ell|>0$. *Let*

$$A_s(Q) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\left[Q'(t)\right]^2+s^2\left[Q(t)\right]^2\right), \qquad s\ge 0\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Then the following two statements hold true.

[3]Qazi Ibadur Rahman died on July 21, 2013, and, thus, the source of (1.2) may never be found.

(a) *If $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$, then we have*

$$|f(x)| \le (\sigma+\tau)\,(A_{\sigma+\tau}(Q))^{-1/2}\,\|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}\,, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

(b) *If equality holds in* (1.5) *for a point $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a function $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ with $f \not\equiv 0$, then either $|(Qf)(x_0)| = \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}$ or there exist two real constants S and C such that $|S| + |C| > 0$ and*

$$Q(x)\,f(x) \equiv S\sin\left((\sigma+\tau)\,x\right) + C\cos\left((\sigma+\tau)\,x\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.2. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the Duffin-Schaeffer inequality for entire functions of exponential type, see [1, Theorem II, p. 555]. The proof of Theorem 1.1(a) is similar to the proof of [5, Lemma 15.1.3, p. 567] in Rahman-Schmeißer's book.

Remark 1.3. If equality holds in (1.5) for a point $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a function $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, then $f'(x_0) = 0$ so that if $f \not\equiv 0$ and $Q'(x_0) \neq 0$, then $|(Qf)(x_0)| < \|Qf\|$.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1(b) shows that equality holds in (1.5) only in rather exceptional cases since f in (1.6) must be an entire function (of order 1 and type at most σ) so that the zeros of Q, counting multiplicities, must be zeros of the right-hand side as well.

In the following two corollaries we apply Theorem 1.1 with the two M. Riesz-Schur's weights that appear in (1.2) and (1.3).

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. If $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$, then*

$$|f(x)| \le \left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau} + 1\right) \|\sin(\tau\,t)\,f(t)\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}\,, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{1.7}$$

Moreover, equality holds in (1.7) *for a point $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\cos(\tau\,x_0) \neq 0$, and for a function $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ with $f \not\equiv 0$ if and only if $\sigma/\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(x) \equiv S\sin\left((\sigma+\tau)\,x\right)/\sin(\tau x)$ for some real $S \neq 0$.*

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\sigma > 0$. If $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$, then*

$$|f(x)| \le \sigma\,\|t f(t)\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}\,, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{1.8}$$

Moreover, equality holds in (1.8) *for a point $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for a function $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ with $f \not\equiv 0$ if and only if $f(x) \equiv S\sin(\sigma\,x)/x$ for some real $S \neq 0$.*

First, we discuss some lemmas in Section 2, and then we give the proofs of these results in Section 3.

Throughout Sections 2 & 3, we will assume that $\tau \geq 0$, $\sigma > 0$, and that the function $Q \in \mathrm{B}_\tau$ is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$. When necessary, will refer to the conditions

$$A_s(Q) > 0 \quad \text{where} \quad A_s(Q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \left([Q'(t)]^2 + s^2 [Q(t)]^2 \right), \qquad s \geq \theta, \tag{1.9}$$

where $\theta \geq \tau$ is fixed, and

$$d > 0 \quad \text{where} \quad d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \inf_{k\neq\ell} |t_k - t_\ell|, & \operatorname{card} Z_Q \geq 2, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

where $Z_Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{t_n\}$ denote the real and distinct zeros of Q.

In what follows, the Lebesgue measure of a (Lebesgue) measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by m(E).

2. Some Lemmas

We will discuss properties of Q and of other entire functions of exponential type that are needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with two simple properties.

Lemma 2.1.

(a) $A_s(Q)$ *defined by* (1.4) *is a right-continuous nondecreasing function of* $s \in [0, \infty)$.

(b) *If* Q *satisfies condition* (1.9)*, then* $\limsup_{x\to\infty} |Q(x)| > 0$ *and* $\limsup_{x\to-\infty} |Q(x)| > 0$.

Proof.

(a) Clearly, $A_s(Q)$ is a nondecreasing function of $s \in [0, \infty)$. Let $c \in [0, \infty)$ be a fixed number. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u_0 = u_0(\varepsilon, c) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A_c(Q) \geq [Q'(u_0)]^2 + c^2 [Q(u_0)]^2 - \varepsilon,$$

and, therefore,

$$A_c(Q) \leq A_s(Q) \leq [Q'(u_0)]^2 + s^2 [Q(u_0)]^2 \leq A_c(Q) + (s^2 - c^2) [Q(u_0)]^2 + \varepsilon, \qquad s \in (c, \infty),$$

from which

$$A_c(Q) \leq \lim_{s\to c+} A_s(Q) \leq A_c(Q) + \varepsilon,$$

and, letting here $\varepsilon \to 0+$, proves right-continuity of $A_s(Q)$ at c.

(b) Fix $s \geq \theta$. If $\limsup_{x\to\infty} |Q(x)| = 0$, i.e., $\lim_{x\to\infty} Q(x) = 0$, then, for $x > 0$ and by the mean value theorem,

$$|x| \inf_{t\in[x,\infty)} |Q'(t)| \leq |Q(2x) - Q(x)|\,,$$

so that, dividing both sides by $|x|$ and letting $x \to \infty$, we get[4]

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} |Q'(x)| \leq \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{|Q(2x) - Q(x)|}{|x|} = 0\,,$$

and then

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} \left([Q'(x)]^2 + s^2 [Q(x)]^2 \right) \leq \liminf_{x\to\infty} [Q'(x)]^2 + s^2 \lim_{x\to\infty} [Q(x)]^2 = 0\,,$$

that contradicts (1.9). If $\limsup_{x\to-\infty} |Q(x)| = 0$, then apply the previous part to $Q(-x)$ that also satisfies the conditions.

■

Next, we discuss some metric properties of Q and of other entire functions of exponential type.

Definition 2.2. Let $0 < \delta \leq L < \infty$. A measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called (L, δ)-dense if for every interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $m(\Delta) = L$, we have $m(E \cap \Delta) \geq \delta$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < \delta \leq L < \infty$ and $\sigma > 0$. If the measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is (L, δ)-dense, then there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ the Remez-type inequality*

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{\mathrm{C(E)}} \tag{2.1}$$

holds.

Lemma 2.3 is a special case Katsnelson's [2, Theorem 3, p. 43] where he proved it for polysubharmonic functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$.[5]

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\tau \geq 0$ and $\theta \geq \tau$. If $Q \in \mathrm{B}_\tau$ satisfies conditions* (1.9) *and* (1.10)*, then there exists $\alpha^* > 0$ such that the set $E^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |Q(x)| \geq \alpha^*\}$ is $(d/2, d/4)$-dense, where d is defined in* (1.10)*.*

[4]Actually, $Q(x) = o(|x|)$ as $x \to \infty$ is sufficient here.

[5]Victor Katsnelson informed us that B. Ja. Levin knew of this result, at least for $n = 1$, and lectured about it way before 1971 although he published it in the form of a Remez-type inequality only much later.

Proof. Let $s \geq \theta$, see (1.9). It follows immediately from (1.9) that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$[Q'(x)]^2 + s^2 [Q(x)]^2 \geq C_2^2, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, given $\alpha > 0$, the open set $E_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |Q(x)| < \alpha\}$ can be represented as $E_\alpha = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha} I$, where $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is a family of pairwise disjoint open intervals I. Then (2.2) shows that for $\alpha \in (0, C_2/s]$ the set E_α does not contain zeros of Q'. Therefore, Q is strictly monotone on each interval $I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$.

If $\alpha \in (0, C_2/s]$ and $I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is a bounded interval then $|Q|$ takes the same value at the endpoints of I so that I contains precisely one zero of Q.

If $\alpha \in (0, C_2/s]$ and $I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is an unbounded interval, say (a, ∞), then, Q being monotone on I, the finite or infinite $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{x \to \infty} Q(x)$ exists and, by Lemma 2.1(b), $\Gamma \neq 0$. Hence, if $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently small, say, $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1 \leq C_2/s$ then $I \not\subset E_\alpha$ so that $I \notin \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. In other words, if $0 < \alpha < \alpha_1 \leq C_2/s$ then $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ has no unbounded components.

Summarizing the above, there exists $\alpha_1 \in (0, C_2/s]$ such that, for all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1]$, each interval $I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is bounded and it contains precisely one zero t_I of Q. In particular, if Q has no real zeros, then $E_\alpha = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_1]$.

It remains to estimate the length of each interval $I \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Let $\alpha_2 \in (0, C_2/s]$ be the unique positive solution of the equation $\alpha / \sqrt{C_2^2 - \alpha^2 s^2} = d/8$. Let $\alpha^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Taking account of (2.2) and using the mean value theorem, we see that for each $x \in I$ there exists $\xi \in I$ such that

$$|x - t_I| = \frac{|Q(x)|}{|Q'(\xi)|} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{C_2^2 - \alpha^2 s^2}} \leq d/8,$$

whenever $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha^*$. Hence $m(I) \leq d/4$ for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha^*]$. Therefore, due to condition (1.10), for every closed interval Δ of length $d/2$, we have $m(\Delta \cap E_{\alpha^*}) \leq d/4$. This shows that $E^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R} \setminus E_{\alpha^*}$ is $(d/2, d/4)$-dense.

■

Now we are in the position to prove the following Schur-type inequality.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\tau \geq 0$ and $\theta \geq \tau$. Let $Q \in \mathrm{B}_\tau$ satisfy conditions* (1.9) *and* (1.10). *Let $\sigma > 0$. Then there is a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ we have*

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_3 \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let the number $\alpha^* > 0$ and the $(d/2, d/4)$-dense set $E^* \subset \mathbb{R}$ be chosen with the help of Lemma 2.4. Then

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{E}^*)} \le \frac{1}{\alpha^*} \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.4}$$

so that (2.3) follows from Lemma 2.3.

■

3. Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1.1(a). Let τ, σ, and Q satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ and assume $f \not\equiv 0$ and $\|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$ since otherwise all claims are self-evident.

The proof will consist of three short steps. Using Lemma 2.5 with $\theta = \sigma + \tau$, we obtain $\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, and this will be used in all three steps.

Step 1a. First, we assume that f is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ and that there exists $x^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x^*)| = \|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}$. Since $Qf \in \mathrm{B}_{\sigma+\tau}$, we can apply the Duffin-Schaeffer inequality to Qf to get

$$[(Qf)'(x)]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2 [(Qf)(x)]^2 \le (\sigma+\tau)^2 \|Qf\|^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

see [1, Theorem II, p. 555]. Since $f'(x^*) = 0$, we can set $x = x^*$ in (3.1) to arrive at

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} = f^2(x^*) \le \frac{(\sigma+\tau)^2 \|Qf\|^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}}{[Q'(x^*)]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2 [Q(x^*)]^2},$$

that implies (1.5) immediately.

Step 2a. Second, we assume that f is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ but $|f|$ doesn't necessarily take its maximum value on $\mathbb{R}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, pick $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \le |f(x_\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon$. Next, observe that, for fixed $\delta > 0$, the function F_δ defined by

$$F_\delta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x) \frac{\sin(\delta(x - x_\varepsilon))}{\delta(x - x_\varepsilon)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

belongs to $\mathrm{B}_{\sigma+\delta}$, it is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, $|F_\delta(x)| \le |f(x)|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and, since $F_\delta(x)$ goes to 0 as $x \to \pm\infty$, it takes its maximal value at some point in $\mathbb{R}$ so that, as proved in Step 1a, we can use (1.5) to obtain

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} - \varepsilon \le |f(x_\varepsilon)| = |F_\delta(x_\varepsilon)| \le \|F_\delta\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \le$$
$$(\sigma+\tau+\delta) \left(A_{\sigma+\tau+\delta}(Q)\right)^{-1/2} \|QF_\delta\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \le (\sigma+\tau+\delta) \left(A_{\sigma+\tau+\delta}(Q)\right)^{-1/2} \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

First, letting here $\varepsilon \to 0+$, we get

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \le (\sigma+\tau+\delta) \left(A_{\sigma+\tau+\delta}(Q)\right)^{-1/2} \|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})},$$

and then, letting $\delta \to 0+$ and using right-continuity of $A_s(Q)$ as proved in Lemma 2.1(a), we obtain (1.5) for f as well.

Step 3a. Third, we assume that f is not necessarily real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$. Then f can be written as $f = f_1 + if_2$, where both f_1 and f_2 given by

$$f_1(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}\left(f(z) + \overline{f(\overline{z})}\right) \quad \& \quad f_2(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2i}\left(f(z) - \overline{f(\overline{z})}\right)$$

are real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that belong to B_σ.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, pick $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x_\varepsilon) \neq 0$ and $\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq |f(x_\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\exp(i\eta) = f(x_\varepsilon)/|f(x_\varepsilon)|$, and let the function G be defined by $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cos\eta\, f_1 + \sin\eta\, f_2$. Then G is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ and satisfies the relations $|G(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|G(x_\varepsilon)| = |f(x_\varepsilon)|$. Applying (1.5) to G, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} - \varepsilon \leq |f(x_\varepsilon)| = |G(x_\varepsilon)| \leq \|G\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq$$
$$(\sigma+\tau)\left(A_{\sigma+\tau}(Q)\right)^{-1/2}\|QG\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \leq (\sigma+\tau)\left(A_{\sigma+\tau}(Q)\right)^{-1/2}\|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})},$$

and, letting $\varepsilon \to 0+$, we again arrive at (1.5) for f as well.

Thus, Theorem 1.1(a) has fully been proved.

■

Proof of Theorem 1.1(b). Let τ, σ, and Q satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. If there exists $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ that is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ such that equality holds in (1.5), then $\|Qf\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$ and $|f(x_0)| = \|f\|_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$ so that $f'(x_0) = 0$. Hence, using again (1.5) and the definition of $A_s(Q)$ in (1.4),

$$\left[(Qf)'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[(Qf)(x_0)\right]^2 = \left(\left[Q'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[Q(x_0)\right]^2\right)\|f\|^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} =$$
$$(\sigma+\tau)^2\frac{\left[Q'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[Q(x_0)\right]^2}{A_{\sigma+\tau}(Q)}\|Qf\|^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})} \geq (\sigma+\tau)^2||Qf||^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Summarizing,

$$\left[(Qf)'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[(Qf)(x_0)\right]^2 \geq (\sigma+\tau)^2||Qf||^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})},$$

and, by the Duffin-Schaeffer inequality,

$$\left[(Qf)'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[(Qf)(x_0)\right]^2 \leq (\sigma+\tau)^2||Qf||^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})},$$

see (3.1), so that

$$\left[(Qf)'(x_0)\right]^2 + (\sigma+\tau)^2\left[(Qf)(x_0)\right]^2 = (\sigma+\tau)^2||Qf||^2_{\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

If $|(Qf)(x_0)| < \|(Qf)\|$, then, this equality is possible if and only if Qf is of the form $(Qf)(x) = S\sin((\sigma+\tau)x) + C\cos((\sigma+\tau)x)$ with some real constants S and C, see [1, Theorem II, p. 555], and, since $f \not\equiv 0$, we have $|S| + |C| > 0$.

Thus, Theorem 1.1(b) has fully been proved as well.

■

Proof of Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6. The weights $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sin(\tau x) \in \mathrm{B}_\tau$ and $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \in \mathrm{B}_0$ both satisfy conditions (1.9) and (1.10) of Theorem 1.1, and in both cases the corresponding $A_s(Q)$ is easy to compute. Therefore, inequalities (1.7) and (1.8) follow immediately from (1.5).

Next, we describe all extremal functions in Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6.

Let $Q(x) \equiv \sin(\tau x)$ with $\tau > 0$. If $\sigma/\tau \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S\sin((\sigma+\tau)x)/\sin(\tau x)$ is an extremal function for (1.7) at $x = 0$. Let us assume that $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ with $f \not\equiv 0$ is an extremal function for (1.7) at some $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\cos(\tau x_0) \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 1.1(b) and Remark 1.3,

$$\sin(\tau x)\, f(x) \equiv S\sin((\sigma+\tau)x) + C\cos((\sigma+\tau)x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $|S| + |C| > 0$ are real. Clearly, C must be 0 since, otherwise, f is not even continuous at 0. Furthermore, $\sin((\sigma+\tau)x)/\sin(\tau x)$ belongs to B_σ if and only if the zeros of the denominator are also zeros of the numerator. Then the numerator must vanish at π/τ which is the first positive zero of the denominator so that σ must be an integer multiple of τ. This proves Corollary 1.5.

Next let $Q(x) \equiv x$. Then $f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S\sin(\sigma x)/x$ is an extremal function for (1.8) at $x = 0$. Moreover, if $f \in \mathrm{B}_\sigma$ with $f \not\equiv 0$ is an extremal function for (1.8) at some $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then, since $Q' \equiv 1 \neq 0$, by Theorem 1.1(b) and Remark 1.3,

$$xf(x) \equiv S\sin(\sigma x) + C\cos(\sigma x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $|S| + |C| > 0$ are real. As before, C must be 0. Thus, Corollary 1.6 has been proved as well.

■

References

[1] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, Some inequalities concerning functions of exponential type, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1937), pp. 554–556.

[2] V. E. Katsnelson (aka Kacnel'son), Equivalent norms in spaces of entire functions, Mat. Sb. (N.S.), 92(134) (1973), pp. 34–54, in Russian; English translation in Math. USSR-Sb., 21 (1973), pp. 33–55.[6]

[3] Paul Nevai and The Anonymous Referee, The Bernstein Inequality and the Schur Inequality are equivalent, J. Approx. Theory, 182 (2014), pp. 103–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2014.02.006

[4] Paul Nevai, *The true story of n vs. 2n in the Bernstein Inequality*, book in progress.

[5] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeißer, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series, vol. 26, Calderon Press, Oxford, 2002.

[6] Marcel Riesz, Eine trigonometrische Interpolationsformel und einige Ungleichungen für Polynome, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver., 23 (1914), pp. 354–368.

[7] I. Schur, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages eines Polynoms in einem gegebenen Intervall, Math. Z., 4:3–4 (1919), pp. 271–287.

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

E-mail address: terdelyi@math.tamu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA

E-mail address: michael.ganzburg@hamptonu.edu

KAU, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, and Upper Arlington (Columbus), Ohio, USA

E-mail address: paul@nevai.us

[6]Our page references use the English version.